



# Analysis Factor of Noise, Bus Conditions and Unsafe Actions on Accident Incidents at Mangkang Terminal and Pengaron Terminal, Semarang-Indonesia

M. G. Catur Yuantari<sup>1\*</sup>, Vio Anifa<sup>1</sup> and Slamet Isworo<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Public Health, Faculty of Health, Dian Nuswantoro University, Semarang, Indonesia.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Environmental Health, Faculty of Health, Dian Nuswantoro University, Semarang, Indonesia.

## Authors' contributions

This research was conducted with the cooperation of all authors. The authors MGCY and VA designed the study, conducted sampling, statistical analysis and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. The authors SI and MGCY wrote the protocol and administered the analysis of this study. Authors VA and SI administered the literature search. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

## Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JSRR/2021/v27i330365

### Editor(s):

(1) Dr. Shunpu Zhang, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, USA.

### Reviewers:

(1) Grzegorz Rutkowski, Gdynia Maritime University, Poland.

(2) Minhee Kang, Hongik University, South Korea.

Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/67879>

Received 20 February 2021

Accepted 26 April 2021

Published 30 April 2021

Case Study

## ABSTRACT

**Objective and Background:** A traffic accident is an unexpected incident on the highway involving a vehicle with or without other road users that results in casualties or property loss. the bus station is a place to regulate the arrival and departure of inter-city and inter-provincial routes. The purpose of this study was to analyze the additional workload, vehicle conditions, and unsafe actions in the event of an accident.

**Methods:** This type of research used a quantitative approach with a cross sectional study design. The population in this study were inter-city inter-provincial (AKDP) and inter-city within the province (AKDP) bus drivers with a study sample of 64 respondents in Mangkang and Penggaron bus station. The sampling technique uses accidental sampling. The research instrument was a questionnaire and it was processed using the Spearman rank test.

**Results:** The results showed that there was no relationship between psychological conditions

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [slamet.isworo@dsn.dinus.ac.id](mailto:slamet.isworo@dsn.dinus.ac.id)

( $p=0.695$ ), ergonomics ( $p=0.994$ ) with the incidence of bus driver accidents. However, there is a relationship between noise levels ( $p=0.43$ ), vehicle conditions ( $p=0.002$ ), unsafe actions ( $p=0.025$ ) and the incidence of bus driver accidents.

**Conclusion:** Buses that enter Mangkang and Penggaron bus station, are required to follow the occupational safety and health (K3) regulations stipulated by local transportation regulations. Especially for economy class bus drivers, they must continue to carry passengers according to the capacity of their bus, so they can avoid dangerous incidents.

*Keywords: Noise level; vehicle condition; unsafe action; bus driver accident.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Traffic safety is an issue that has not received the attention it deserves and it is indeed our one great opportunity to save lives around the world. The Global Status Report on Road Safety states that road traffic accidents are now the eighth leading cause of death in the world. The number of road traffic fatalities has continued to increase, reaching 1.35 million in 2016 [1].

The Traffic accidents and deaths that occur in Indonesia have become serious problems, an average of 3 (three) people die every hour, due to road accidents. The data stated that a large number of accidents was caused by several things, including 61% of accidents caused by human factors, namely related to the ability and character of the driver, 9% due to vehicle factors (related to meeting roadworthy vehicle requirements) and 30% caused, by infrastructure and environmental factors [2]. Bus drivers with intercity, interprovincial and intercity within province routes play a very important role in terms of passenger safety, often drivers drive more than 4 hours or have busy, high-intensity routes and are at risk of traffic accidents [3,4]. Bus drivers with intercity routes play a very important role in terms of passenger safety, therefore bus drivers must always be in good stamina, often drivers drive more than 4 hours or have long, congested, high-intensity routes so they are at risk of of traffic accidents [5].

Traffic noise factor has become one of the environmental issues in urban areas, bus noise intensity is 95.3–121.0 dB, trucks 110–117.6 dB, mini trucks 101.6–110.1 dB, motorbikes 99.8–107.3 dB, taxi 94.8–102.4 dB, minibus 90–100.5 dB, cars 85–92.3 dB, Jeeps 87.6–91.2 dB. Long-term exposure to noise intensity to drivers can cause physical and psychological discomfort which can result in traffic accidents [6]. The noise level on the road can reach 70–80 dB, the train line 90 dB, and along the aircraft take-off line, it can reach 110 dB. Health problems caused by

noise, namely disorders of the hearing and digestive systems, stress, headaches, increased blood pressure and decreased work performance [7]. The human error factor has the potential to cause work accidents. unsafe behavior is violations committed by workers while carrying out their work, resulting in a situation that supports the occurrence of work accidents [8], The bus condition factor is a factor that has an influence on the occurrence of traffic accidents. Bus that undergo regular maintenance will create a sense of security and comfort for the driver and passengers. The physical condition and the bus engine which includes brakes, tires, rearview mirrors, main lights, sign lights, and so on will also affect the occurrence of traffic accidents [9].

The terminal is a general motorized vehicle base that is used to regulate arrivals and departures, pick up and drop off people or goods, and change modes of transportation [10]. There are three types of bus terminal classifications in Indonesia based on Law Number 23 of 2014, namely Type A Terminal which functions to serve public passenger vehicles for a province and cross-border transportation between countries with a capacity of 50-100 buses / hour, Terminal Type B functions for serving public passenger transportation between cities in one province with a capacity of 25 - 50 buses / hour. Terminal Type C which serves to serve public passenger vehicles for rural transportation with a capacity of <25 buses / hour. The Mangkang bus terminal and the Penggaron bus terminal are type B bus terminals managed by the Central Java Provincial Government [11].

The factors regarding the impact of noise during driving, the importance of bus conditions in good condition, and safe action while driving are very important when associated with traffic accidents. The research was conducted at the Mangkang bus terminal and the Penggaron bus terminal because these two terminals are potential terminals in managing traffic from the city of Semarang. Bus drivers have a very important

role in helping the government in implementing regulations and suppressing the occurrence of bus traffic accidents, especially in the city of Semarang and the province of Central Java in general.

Initial observations made by researchers, at Penggaron Terminal there are 96 buses operating, while at Mangkang Terminal there are 80 buses and there are still many intercity and inter-provincial bus drivers detected, including Semarang-Surabaya, Semarang-Solo, Semarang-Jogjakarta, and other bus majors. , doing unsafe actions such as not using seat belts, using cellphones when driving the bus, carrying loads and passengers exceeding the limit, buses traveling at high speed, not equipping them with safety equipment such as light fire extinguishers, and the wrong position of the bus driver while driving.

Based on the description, a study was conducted with the title "Analysis of Noise Levels, Vehicle Conditions and Unsafe Actions Against Bus Driver Accidents at the Semarang-Indonesia City Bus Terminal".

## 2. METHODS

The type of research used is descriptive research, which is to explain the actual conditions under study. The method used in this study is an analytic observational method with a cross-sectional approach, namely the data obtained directly during the study [12,13]. The population taken in this study were the Inter-City, Inter-Provincial (AKAP) and Inter-City Within Province (AKDP) bus drivers in Mangkang and Penggaron Terminal (Bus Station). The technique used in data collection is accidental sampling, The samples used in this study were 64 bus drivers.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Based on the transportation sector, the city of Semarang is a strategic location because it is located between West Java province and East Java province and is at the midpoint of the northern coastline of the island of Java from Jakarta to Surabaya. Semarang city is also located on the main connecting line node between the north coast route and the south coast route, namely the Semarang and Yogyakarta/Surakarta routes. The advantage of this location means that the city of Semarang will continue to develop in the field of services and

distribution as well as become a gateway to other areas on the island of Java. This needs to be supported by a good transportation system. Semarang city currently has 2 main bus terminals, namely the Mangkang terminal and the Penggaron terminal. Each terminal has a different number of passengers and bus capacity. Mangkang Terminal is a bus terminal that serves city transportation routes, border transportation, Trans Semarang, Trans Java Central, and inter-city transportation [14] while the Penggaron terminal is a bus terminal located at the western end of Semarang city bordering Demak regency, more precisely located in Penggaron Kidul. Pedurungan, Semarang. Penggaron terminal serves city transportation routes, border transportation, Trans Semarang, and inter-city transportation [15]. The two terminals are the largest terminals owned by the Central Java Provincial Government and are strategic bus terminals in regulating the entry and exit of bus traffic to the city of Semarang. The independent variables used in this study were noise, psychological factors, vehicle conditions, and unsafe actions, while the dependent variable used in this study was traffic accidents. This is because according to the domino theory the biggest cause of accidents is due to unsafe actions, then unsafe conditions and the rest is caused by unexpected factors, including noise and bad bus conditions, therefore it needs to be corrected [16].

Based on the research results, the following data were obtained:

### 3.1 The Relationship between Noise Level and Accident Incidents (Table 1)

The results of the analysis using the Spearman rank test show that the relationship between noise levels and the incidence of bus driver accidents in this study obtained a p-value of 0.043 with a value of R -0.254, meaning that there is a relationship between noise levels and bus driver accidents and the higher the noise level, then the likelihood of having an accident risk will increase - 0.254 times compared to a low noise level (the risk of accidents is getting worse).

Noise is sound whose presence is undesirable that comes from production process tools or work tools which at a certain level can cause hearing loss [17]. Noise in occupational health is defined as sound that can reduce hearing both

quantitatively (increased hearing threshold) and qualitatively (hearing spectrum narrowing) which is related to a certain intensity, frequency, duration, and time patterns [18,19]. Noise is a problem that until now has not been handled properly because it is one of the neglected factors in the work environment so that it can become a serious threat to bus drivers which can cause various disturbances, such as physiological, psychological, and communication disorders and can increase the risk of accidents [19]. Research on symptoms of nervous system-related disorders in workers exposed to work noise and vibration in Korea shows that job exposure to vibration and noise is related to Nervous System Related Symptoms (NSRS) and these symptoms can lead to the risk of work accidents [20]. The ergonomic work analysis of bus drivers in the private collective transport sector shows that the largest number of complaints related to noise can cause work accidents [21]. Noise factors that are exposed for long periods of time to bus drivers cause risky psychological impacts, which can affect overall road safety [22].

Noise above 80 dB can cause restlessness, malaise, tiredness of hearing, stomach pain, and circulatory problems. Excessive and prolonged noise is detrimental to the efficiency and concentration of bus drivers [23].

### **3.2 The Relationship between the Psychological Condition of the Bus Driver and Accident Incidents (Table 2)**

The results of the analysis using the Spearman rank test show that the relationship between psychological conditions and bus driver accidents in this study obtained p-value = 0.695 with a value of R = -0.050, meaning that there is no relationship between psychological conditions and bus driver accidents and the better the psychological condition bus drivers, then the possibility of experiencing an accident risk will be reduced.

Bus accidents can be caused due to the bad psychological condition of the bus driver, resulting in a loss of concentration while driving. Psychological disorders of bus drivers cause aggressive behavior while driving, this is because aggressive driving behavior is behavior that manifests itself as an action that is disturbing and directed towards others [24]. These behaviors can be unsettling because they can

also put other drivers at risk, such as excessive speed, getting in and out of lanes, and walking past red lights, all of which are at risk of causing traffic accidents [25].

This study shows that there is no relationship between psychological conditions and accidents, this is because the bus driver respondent has a good psychological condition. Emotionally mature bus drivers tend to behave less deviantly, one of which is driving aggressively, the more mature the bus driver's psychological condition, the lower the aggressiveness. When the psychological condition of a bus driver is mature, he can control himself, his behavior will also be in accordance with the existing norms and rules so that the level of driving aggressiveness can be suppressed [26].

### **3.3 The Relationship between Ergonomics and Accident Incidents (Table 3)**

The results of the analysis using the Spearman rank test which shows the relationship between ergonomic conditions and the incidence of bus driver accidents obtained a p-value of 0.994 with an R value of -0.001 meaning that there is no relationship between ergonomic conditions and the incidence of bus driver accidents. The better the ergonomic conditions, the risk of accidents will be reduced -0.001 times compared to less ergonomic conditions.

This is because the bus driver has been able to adjust to the ergonomic conditions so that the risk of accidents can be controlled.

The provision of ergonomic facilities for bus drivers is deemed necessary so that drivers can adjust their needs while driving. There needs to be a commitment to improve occupational safety and health for drivers related to ergonomic risks. Proper stretching and strengthening exercises and implementing a progressive aerobic program to improve overall fitness [27]. Management of bus transportation services must have baseline data about diseases of all drivers, as well as carry out medical check-ups that are specific to ergonomic hazards as biomonitoring and personal control as well as preventive measures [28].

### **3.4 Relationship between Bus Conditions and Accident Incidents (Table 4)**

The results of the analysis using the rank spearman test showed that the relationship

between vehicle conditions and the incidence of bus driver accidents in this study obtained a p-value of 0.002 (p-value  $0.000 < 0.05$ ) with a value of  $R = -0.376$ , which means that there is a relationship between vehicle conditions and bus driver accidents. with inadequate bus conditions, the risk of accidents will increase -0.376 times.

The better the bus condition, the less the number of accidents caused by the vehicle (bus) factor. The biggest influence lies in the maintenance of the vehicle (bus), which is the responsibility of the owner and the driver of the vehicle which is obliged to test in reducing the level of accidents caused by vehicle factors [29]. The government needs to make strict safety regulations, especially on the appropriateness of the condition of the vehicle so that there are no accidents [30].

### 3.5 The Relationship between Unsafe Action and Accident Incidents (Table 5)

The results of the analysis used the Spearman rank test which shows the relationship between unsafe actions and the incidence of bus driver accidents with a p-value of 0.025 (p-value  $0.000 < 0.05$ ) and an R-value of -0.280, meaning that there is a relationship between vehicle conditions and accidents driver and unsafe actions by bus

drivers increase the risk of accidents -0.280 times.

The biggest cause of traffic accidents is the human factor through unsafe driver actions, therefore, the importance of driving safety education so that road users, especially vehicle drivers, are able to take safe actions in making decisions, identify and assess risks and strategies to minimize risks. One of the ways that can be done to increase the safe behavior of drivers is by educating them about driving safety. The unsafe behavior of drivers has a direct impact on the number of work accidents. The more often unsafe actions are carried out by the driver, the more risk of a work accident that can cause harm to the driver or other people [31].

The government and several agencies engaged in the transportation sector continue to increase efforts to reduce the number of traffic accidents. One of them is the national driving safety campaign and driving safety training that have been carried out by both the traffic police and several private agencies. Driving safety is the basis of advanced driving training that pays more attention to driver and passenger safety. Driving safety is designed to increase driver awareness of all the possibilities that occur while driving [32].

**Table 1. The Relationship between noise level and accident incidents**

No	Noise level	Bus driver accident				Total	R	P-value	
		Low		High					
		N	%	N	%				
1	Low	10	34,5	19	65,5	29	45,3	-0,254	0,043
2	High	21	60	14	40	35	54,7		
Total		31	48,4	33	51,6	64	100		

**Table 2. The relationship between the psychological condition of the bus driver and the accident**

No	Psychological conditions	Bus driver accident				Total	R	P-value	
		Low		High					
		N	%	N	%				
1	Low	8	44,4	10	55,6	18	28,1	-0,05	0,695
2	High	23	50	23	50	46	71,9		
Total		31	48,4	33	51,6	64	100		

**Table 3. The Relationship between ergonomics and accident incidents**

No	Ergonomics	Bus driver accident				Total	R	P-value	
		Low		High					
		N	%	N	%				
1	Low	15	48,4	16	51,6	31	48,4	-0,001	0,994
2	High	16	48,5	17	51,5	33	51,6		
Total		31	48,4	33	51,6	64	100		

**Table 4. Relationship between bus conditions and accident incidents**

No	Bus condition	Bus driver accident				Total	R	P-value	
		Low		High					
		N	%	N	%				
1	Low	9	29	22	71	31	48,4	-0,376	0,002
2	High	22	66,7	11	33,3	33	51,6		
Total		31	48,4	33	51,6	64	100		

**Table 5. The Relationship between unsafe action and accident incidents**

No	Unsafe action	Bus driver accident				Total	R	P-value	
		Low		High					
		N	%	N	%				
1	Low	12	35,3	22	64,7	34	53,1	-0,28	0,025
2	High	19	63,3	11	36,7	30	46,9		
Total		31	48,4	33	51,6	64	100		

#### 4. CONCLUSION

Based on the results of research on 64 respondents with the category of not having frequent accidents as many as 31 people (48.4%), while accidents with frequent accidents were 33 people (51.6%). There is a significant relationship between the noise level and the incidence of bus driver accidents at the bus terminal in Semarang City (p-value=0.043). There is no significant relationship between psychological conditions and the incidence of bus driver accidents at the bus terminal in Semarang City (p-value=0.695). There is no significant relationship between ergonomics and the incidence of bus driver accidents at the bus terminal in Semarang City (p-value=0.994). There is a significant relationship between vehicle conditions and the incidence of bus driver accidents at the bus terminal in Semarang City (p-value=0.002). There is a significant relationship between unsafe action and the incidence of bus driver accidents at the bus terminal in Semarang City (p-value=0.025).

#### SUGGESTION

The terminal management needs to improve again regarding safety information while driving. Support from the management of each terminal is the most important element in changing unsafe behavior which is still often done by bus drivers. Supervision can be improved because drivers or passengers become more reluctant to comply with the prevailing regulations. Drivers are

advised to be more obedient in checking the condition of their respective vehicles before operating.

#### DATA AVAILABILITY

All relevant data has been registered on paper along with supporting information files. All relevant data has been imposed onto the paper along with a supporting information file. This study will help researchers to reveal critical areas regarding the analysis of the relationship between noise factors, vehicle conditions and unsafe actions against bus driver accidents at Semarang City Bus Terminal so that traffic accidents can be reduced.

#### CONSENT

The written consent of the respondent has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is very grateful to the management of Dian Nuswantoro University so that this research can well done.

#### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

1. Organization WH. Global status report on road safety 2018: Summary. World Health Organization; 2018.
2. Jusuf A, Nurprasetyo IP, Prihutama A. Macro data analysis of traffic accidents in Indonesia. Journal Eng. Technol. Sci. 2017;49(1):132-143. DOI: 10.5614/j.eng.technol.sci.2017.49.1.8
3. Marlina E, Natalia DAR. Land transportation and tourism development. Int J Econ Perspect. 2017;11(2).

- ISSN 1307-1637
4. Luo L, Shi J. Aberrant driving behaviors by tourists: A study of drivers in China. *Accid Anal Prev.* 2020;146:105738. DOI: 10.1016/j.aap.2020.105738
  5. Frank L, Engelke P, Schmid T. Health and community design: The impact of the built environment on physical activity. Island Press; 2003.
  6. Murthy VK, Majumder AK, Khanal SN, Subedi DP. Assessment of traffic noise pollution in Banepa, a semi urban town of Nepal. *Kathmandu Univ J Sci Eng Technol.* 2007;3(2):12–20.
  7. Zappatore M, Longo A, Bochicchio MA. Crowd-sensing our smart cities: A platform for noise monitoring and acoustic urban planning. *J Commun Softw Syst.* 2017;13(2):53–67. DOI: 10.24138/jcomss.v13i2.373
  8. Zuraida R, Iridiastadi H, Sutralaksana IZ. Indonesian driver's characteristics associated with road accidents. *Int J Technol.* 2017;8(2):311–9. DOI: 10.14716/ijtech.v8i2.6148
  9. Safitri DM, Surjandari I, Sumabrata RJ. Assessing factors affecting safety violations of bus rapid transit drivers in the Greater Jakarta Area. *Saf Sci.* 2020;125:104634. DOI : 10.1016/j.ssci.2020.104634
  10. Facchini E, Dias EM. The importance of development of control processes and methods for urban bus services; 2019. DOI: 10.14201/ADCAIJ2019835165
  11. Ferza R, Hamudy MIA, Rifki MS. Tirtanadi bus terminal services: An Innovation Derailed? *J Bina Praja J Home Aff Gov.* 2019;11(2):171–83. DOI : 10.21787/jbp.11.2019.171-183
  12. Kumar I, Zhainin A, Kim A, Beaulieu LJ. Transportation and logistics cluster competitive advantages in the US regions: A cross-sectional and spatio-temporal analysis. *Res Transp Econ.* 2017;61:25-36. DOI: 10.1016/j.retrec.2016.07.028.
  13. Al-Alawi M, Al-Sinawi H, Al-Qubtan A, Al-Lawati J, Al-Habsi A, Al-Shuraiqi M, et al. Prevalence and determinants of burnout syndrome and depression among medical students at Sultan Qaboos University: A cross-sectional analytical study from Oman. *Arch Environ Occup Health.* 2019;74(3):130–9. DOI: 10.1080/19338244.2017.1400941
  14. Sushernawan P. Management System of Mangkang Terminal in Semarang City.. *J Polit Gov Stud.* 2014;3(2):396–410.
  15. Sedaya CP, Sulandari S. Analysis of penggaron bus station facilities city of semarang. *J Public Policy Manag Rev.* 2019;8(4):280–6.
  16. Chi S, Han S. Analyses of systems theory for construction accident prevention with specific reference to OSHA accident reports. *Int J Proj Manag.* 2013;31(7):1027–41.
  17. Thompson M. Beyond unwanted sound: Noise, affect and aesthetic moralism. Bloomsbury Publishing USA; 2017. DOI: 10.5040/9781501313349
  18. Neitzel RL, Fligor BJ. Risk of noise-induced hearing loss due to recreational sound: Review and recommendations. *J Acoust Soc Am.* 2019;146(5):3911–21. DOI: 10.1121/1.5132287
  19. Caldwell JA, Caldwell JL, Thompson LA, Lieberman HR. Fatigue and its management in the workplace. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 2019;96:272–89. DOI : 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2018.10.024
  20. Jariwala HJ, Syed HS, Pandya MJ, Gajera YM. Noise Pollution & Human Health: A Review. *Indoor Built Environ.* 2017;1-4.
  21. Araújo AV, Arcanjo GS, Fernandes H, Arcanjo GS. Ergonomic work analysis: A case study of bus drivers in the private collective transportation sector. *Work.* 2018;60(1):41–7. DOI: 10.3233/WOR-182718
  22. Montoro L, Useche S, Alonso F, Cendales B. Work environment, stress, and driving anger: A structural equation model for predicting traffic sanctions of public transport drivers. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2018;15(3):497. DOI: 10.3390/ijerph15030497
  23. Gillespie R, Center TL. The Health Impact of Urban Mass Transportation Work in New York City; 2005.
  24. Joshi AR, Vaidya SM. Evaluation of perceived stress in bus drivers of Pune city. *National Journal of Physiology Pharmacy and Pharmacology.* 7(1):1. DOI:10.5455/njppp.2017.7.0720805082016
  25. Čabarkapa M, Čubranić-Dobrodolac M, Čičević S, Antić B. The influence of aggressive driving behavior and impulsiveness on traffic accidents; 2018.

- Available:[http://dx.doi.org/10.7708/ijtte.2018.8\(3\).09](http://dx.doi.org/10.7708/ijtte.2018.8(3).09)
26. Lucidi F, Girelli L, Chirico A, Alivernini F, Cozzolino M, Violani C, Personality traits and attitudes toward traffic safety predict risky behavior across young, adult, and older drivers. *Front Psychol.* 2019;10:536.  
DOI.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.00536
  27. Perez GM. Ergonomics: Achieving system balance through ergonomic analysis and control. *Handb Occup Saf Heal.* 2019;49–87.  
DOI: 10.1002/9781119581482.ch3
  28. Steel J, Luyten J, Godderis L. Occupational health: the global evidence and value; 2018.
  29. Huvarinen Y, Svatkova E, Oleshchenko E, Pushchina S. Road safety audit. *Transp Res Procedia.* 2017;20:236–41.  
DOI: 10.1016/j.tpro.2017.01.061
  30. Gómez-Ortiz V, Cendales B, Useche S, Bocarejo JP. Relationships of working conditions, health problems and vehicle accidents in bus rapid transit (BRT) drivers. *Am J Ind Med.* 2018;61(4):336–43.  
DOI: 10.1002/ajim.22821
  31. Pakgohar A, Tabrizi RS, Khalili M, Esmaeili A. The role of human factor in incidence and severity of road crashes based on the CART and LR regression: A data mining approach. *Procedia Comput Sci.* 2011;3:764–9.  
DOI: 10.1016/j.procs.2010.12.126
  32. Berta T, Abonyi N, Pauer G, Török Á. Introduction of the Methodology Focusing on the Implementation of a National Safety Campaign. *Period Polytech Transp Eng.* 2018;46(1):17–20.  
DOI: 10.3311/PPtr.9928

© 2021 Yuantari et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*  
*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:*  
<http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/67879>